Visually guided chick ocular length and structural thickness variations assessed by swept-source optical coherence tomography: supplement

FENG YAN,[1,3] ORCID CHEN WANG,[1,3] ORCID JAYLA A. WILSON,[1] MICHAEL O'CONNELL,[1] SAM TON,[1] NOAH DAVIDSON,[1] MOURREN SIBICHAN,[1] KARI CHAMBERS,[1] AHMED AHMED,[1] JODY SUMMERS,[2,4] AND QINGGONG TANG[1,5]

[1] *Stephenson School of Biomedical Engineering, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73072, USA*
[2] *Department of cell Biology, The University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City. OK 73126, USA*
[3] *Equal contribution*
[4] *jody-summers@ouhsc.edu*
[5] *qtang@ou.edu*

Supplement document

Visually Guided Chick Ocular Length and Structural Thickness Variations Assessed by Swept-Source Optical Coherence Tomography

Fig. S1. Schematic of SS-OCT imaging position within the chick eye.

Fig. S2. 3D OCT image of the chick eye.

Fig. S3. Data analysis of the thickness or depth difference for CT, ACD, LT, VCD, RT, RPET, ChT, ST, and AL in control, myopic, and recovered chick eyes. (A)-(I) Difference of CT, ACD, LT, VCD, RT, RPET, ChT, ST and AL between right eyes in normal, myopic, and recovered chick eyes. N = 3. C-M, Control *versus* Myopic eyes (ocular structure thickness in Myopic subtract that of in Control). C-R, Control *versus* Recovered eyes (ocular structure thickness in Recovered subtract that of in Control). M-R, Myopic *versus* Recovered eyes (ocular structure thickness in Recovered subtract that of in Myopic).